

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

ORDER

Plaintiff Andrew Linton Sawyers, a state prisoner appearing *pro se*, filed this § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs while housed at the Canadian County Detention Center (“CCDC”). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), the matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Bernard M. Jones for initial proceedings. Judge Jones has issued a Report and Recommendation (the “Report”) recommending that defendants’ motion for summary judgment be granted.

Plaintiff has filed an objection to the report, which triggers *de novo* review by this court of proposed findings or recommendations to which objection has been made. However, plaintiff's response does not specifically address any of the findings or reasoning of the Report. Rather, plaintiff just generally objects to the Report's conclusion, expresses various opinions as to jail operation, and reiterates his position regarding access to legal resources. In the absence of any meaningful objection to the factual or legal issues addressed in the Report, the court concludes plaintiff has waived his right to appellate review of the issues addressed. Casanova v. Ulibarri, 595 F.3d 1120, 1123 (10th Cir. 2010).

For substantially the reasons stated in the Report, the Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 119] is **ADOPTED**. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. # 102] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 12th day of February, 2019.



JOE HEATON
CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE